Last Updated: January 2018 cv-00002-LG-RHW Document 7 Filed 02/28/18 Page 1 of 5

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. JAN. 2018)

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

LURETHA O. BIVINS		PLAINTIFF
v.	CIVIL ACTION No. 1:18cv2-LG-RHW	
MISSISSIPPI REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY VIII		DEFENDANT

## CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

This Order, including all deadlines, has been established with the participation of all parties and can be modified only by order of the Court on a showing of good cause supported with affidavits, other evidentiary materials, or reference to portions of the record.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1.	ESTIMATED DAYS OF TRIAL:	3		
	ESTIMATED TOTAL NUMBER OF WITNESSES:	8		
	EXPERT TESTIMONY EXPECTED: Yes	NO. OF EXPERTS:	1-2	

## 2. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION [ADR]. (Pick one)

At the time this Case Management Order is offered it does not appear that alternative dispute resolution techniques will be used in this civil action.

Additional Information:

## 3. CONSENT TO TRIAL BY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE. (Pick one)

The parties do not consent to trial by a United States Magistrate Judge.

FORM 1	(ND/SD	MISS.	IAN.	2018)

	Case 1.10 00 00002 20 1(1100 D00ament 1 1 1100 02/20/10 1 age 2 010				
RM 1 (	(ND/SD MISS. JAN. 2018)				
4.	DISCLOSURE. (Pick one)				
	The following additional disclosure is needed and is hereby ordered:				
	The parties shall sever Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures no later than March 7, 2018.				
5.	MOTIONS; ISSUE BIFURCATION. (Pick one)				
	Staged resolution, or bifurcation of the issues for trial in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 42 (b) will not assist in the prompt resolution of this action.				
	Statement Not Applicable.				
6.	DISCOVERY PROVISIONS AND LIMITATIONS.				
	A Interrogatories are limited to 30 succinct questions				

- Interrogatories are limited to <u>30</u> succinct questions.
- Requests for Production are limited to \_\_\_\_30 \_\_\_ succinct questions. B.
- Requests for Admissions are limited to \_\_\_30\_\_ succinct questions. C.
- Depositions are limited to the parties, experts, and no more than D.
  - \_\_\_\_\_5 \_\_\_ fact witness depositions per party without additional approval of the Court.

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. JAN. 2018)

Е.	The parties have complied with the requirements of Local Rule 26(f)(2) and (3)(C) regarding discovery of electronically stored information and have concluded as follows:
	At this time the parties do not anticipate there being any discovery conducted regarding ESI in this litigation.
F.	The court imposes the following further discovery provisions or limitations:
<b>√</b>	1. The parties have agreed that defendant may obtain a Fed.R.Civ. P. 35 (L.U.Civ.R. 35) medical examination of the plaintiff (within subpoena range of the court) by a physician who has not examined the plaintiff, and that defendant may arrange the examination without further order of the court. The examination must be completed in time to comply with expert designation discovery deadlines.
<b>√</b>	2. Pursuant to FED.R.EVID. 502(d), the attorney-client privilege and the work-product protections are not waived by any disclosure connected within this litigation pending before this Court. Further, the disclosures are not waived in any other federal or state proceeding.
<b>✓</b>	3. Plaintiff must execute an appropriate, HIPAA-compliant medical authorization.
<b>√</b>	4. The court desires to avoid the necessity of filing written discovery motions where court participation in an informal discussion of the issue might resolve it, even after the parties have been unsuccessful in a good faith attempt to do so. Consequently, before a party may serve any discovery motion, counsel must first in good faith as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1). If the attorney conference does not resolve the dispute, counsel must contact the chambers of the magistrate judge to request a telephonic conference to discuss the issue as contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P.16(b)(3)(B) (v). Only if the telephonic conference with the judge is unsuccessful in resolving the issue may a party file a discovery motion.
	5. Other:

FORM 1	(ND/SD MISS, JAI	v 2018)

7.

A 1 11.1 1		. •
Additional	intorn	nation:
Additional	шичи	iauon.

SC	SCHEDULING DEADLINES				
Α.	<b>Trial.</b> This action is set for <u>JURY TRIAL</u> during a <u>three-week</u> term of court				
	beginning on: May 6, 2019, at 9:00, a.m., in Gulfport,				
	Mississippi, before United States <u>District</u> Judge <u>Louis Guirola, Jr.</u> .				
	THE ESTINATED NUMBER OF DAYS FOR TRIAL IS $\underline{3}$ . ANY CONFLICTS WITH THIS TRIAL DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO THE TRIAL JUDGE IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF THIS CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER.				
В.	<b>Pretrial.</b> The pretrial conference is set on: April 17-18, 2019 , at 9:00 , a.m. ,				
	in <u>Gulfport</u> , Mississippi, before United States <u>District</u>				
	Judge Louis Guirola, Jr.				
C.	<b>Discovery.</b> All discovery must be completed by: September 14, 2018.				
D.	<b>D. Amendments.</b> Motions for joinder of parties or amendments to the pleadings must be				
	filed by: April 2, 2018				
Ε.	<b>Experts.</b> The parties' experts must be designated by the following dates:				
	<b>1.</b> Plaintiff(s): May 1, 2018				
	<b>2.</b> Defendant(s): June 11, 2018				

Form 1 (N	D/SD MISS.	JAN.	2018)
-----------	------------	------	-------

<b>8. MOTIONS.</b> All dispos	itive motions and De	aubert-type motions chall	enging another party'	s expert
must be filed by: Septe	ember 28, 2018	The deadline for mot	ions in limine is four	teen days
before the pretrial conf	Ference; the deadline	e for responses is seven da	ys before the pretrial	
conference.				
9. SETTLEMENT CONFER	RENCE.			
A SETTLEMENT CONFER	ENCE is set on: Nov	ember 16, 2018 , at 1	<u>.:30</u> , p.m.	in
Gulfport  Robert H. Walker	, Mississippi, before	United States Magistrate	Judge	
	·			
Seven (7) days before the	ne settlement confere	ence, the parties must sub	mit via e-mail to the 1	magistrate
judge's chambers an up	dated CONFIDENT	IAL SETTLEMENT ME	MORANDUM. All p	arties are
required to be present at	the conference unle	ess excused by the Court.	If a party believes the	escheduled
settlement conference w	ould not be product	ive and should be cancelle	ed, the party is directed	ed to inform
the Court via e-mail of t	the grounds for their	belief at least seven (7) d	ays prior to the confe	rence.
10. REPORT REGARDING	ADR. On or before	(7 days before FPTC) A	oril 10, 2019	, the parties
must report to the unde	ersigned all ADR eff	Forts they have undertaker	to comply with the I	Local Rules or
provide sufficient facts	s to support a finding	g of just cause for failure t	o comply. See L.U.C	Siv.R.83.7(f)(3).
SO ORDERED:				
February 28, 2018	/s/ Robert H	. Walker		
DATE	UNITED ST	TATES MAGISTRATE J	UDGE	